URGE Management Plan for University/Organization

This should be a plan to incorporate deliverables into your organization as you continue to develop, assess, and finalize policies and resources. You may want to adjust the format of this for more comprehensive plans, but this covers the essentials.

| Deliverable | Existing Policy or Resource? | Initial Point of Contact(s) | Where It Is or Will Be Posted | Review/Update Interval | Racial Risk Assessment? | Training Recommended? | Approval, Check, and/or Consequence |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Complaints and Reporting Policy | Yes (sort of) | Clare Singer, Julie Inglis | On Caltech Title IX, Caltech Hotline, and Caltech GPS DEI websites already | No review process set up, recommend every 2 years | Recommended | Some supervisors have mandatory implicit bias training, however training at orientation for everyone is recommended | Checks should be created for the outcomes of the complaint reports and if action was taken and if cases are reducing over time |
| Demographic Data | Yes | Andy Thompson, Clare Singer, Ted Present | Undergraduat e and graduate enrollment statistics on website every year, detailed common data set report published every year by Dr. Lindsey Malcom- Piqueux but recorded only for last six | Updated every year | Currently undergoing risk assessment in an effort to increase diversity in invited speakers, faculty, postdocs, graduate, undergraduates, and summer interns | Not applicable | Checks should be installed for demographic breakdown at the department and option level, especially comparing admittance and graduation statistics to ensure retention of a diverse community |

Commented [EN1]: I think if the answer is not a definitive "YES" then this should be a NO. This will garner more attention when delivered to the Division.

Commented [EN2]: Sorry I missed these meetings so my questions may be from a place of ignorance - but are these two people the official reporting officers for the Division (i.e. approved by the Chair)? And is this anonymous or not?

Commented [EN3R2]: Maybe I'm gathering now that the people listed in this column are those that made the deliverable... it might be helpful to also have a column in this form on who in the Division "officially" is responsible for knowing these policies?

| | | | years, graduation rate breakdown also reported on website | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Policies for Working with Communities of Color | No | Gabriella Weiss, Julie Inglish, Hemani Kalucha, Frank Pavia | Will be posted on department website | Recommend every 2 years | Yes, currently ongoing in an effort to develop a guide for how to work ethically with communities of colour | Yes, specifically inviting external DEI professionals to train students and faculty on appropriate ways to conduct fieldwork and ethical interactions with communities of colour | Need approval of department to create mandatory form and proposal for any fieldwork with communities of colour, checks and reports to make sure fieldwork was conducted appropriately, consequences determined by future committee if something went wrong |
| Admissions and Hiring Policies | Yes | Andy Thompson, Lily Dove, | Internal currently, admissions rubric should be public, and hiring policies made | No set interval, recommend annually | Recommended, committee has been reaching out to Lindsey and CCID | Anti-bias training and best-practices training for soliciting and evaluating applications should be required by all members of | Jobs searches and admissions conducted by the division must adhere to these policies |

| | | | available on all postings | | | the selection committee | |
|--------------|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Safety Plan | No | Ted Present, Lily Dove, Eryn Eitel, Selva | Fieldwork best safety practices checklist should be available on the Division website and required to be completed by all students going into the field | Annually, but also after any major reported incidents | Should be carried out with help of the CCID | Yes. Lab safety officers should receive formal training, as well as Omsbud people. Training should include knowledge of the resource map and reporting policies | Implementation will be discussed with the faculty Fieldwork Committee and with CCID |
| Resource Map | No | Shaelyn Silverman, Julie Inglis | Should be post on division website and advertised within buildings so it is easy to access | Additions on a rolling basis | Not planned | No | |

Additional considerations for each deliverable (use this space to elaborate on table entries, organize it as appropriate for your pod):

- Agreement This agreement can be adapted to outline how you will interact and meet with leadership about these policies, as well as regular meetings with key contacts such as diversity/inclusion committees, HR, etc. TO DISCUSS
- Pod Guidelines Your pod guidelines can be adapted into longer term plans/bylaws, e.g. will this turn into a committee or working group in your organization/institution, will membership/leadership rotate, etc. TO DISCUSS

- Complaints and Reporting Policy not complete.
- Demographic Data not complete
- Policies for Working with Communities of Color not complete
- Admissions and Hiring Policies The proposed changes for the hiring and admissions policies are to create a FAQ page for job applications similar to that for grad students to make the requires clear, incorporate training from the CCID for members of the search committee for both hiring and admissions on best practices for soliciting and evaluating applications, including anti-bias training, making rubric for admissions publicly available, and removing financial barriers to application (GRE, TOEFL, and application fee). We recommend posting hiring policies (as much information as possible) publicly on the jobs board for potential candidates. All job search and admissions should adhere to these new policies.
- Safety Plan There is no current department wide field safety plan. This will need to be implemented. This plan will include antiracism specific policies for both choosing field sites and for during and after the trip occurs. Training should be paired with the training
 for the deliverable on working with communities of color to emphasize the importance of these new policies, and then also on the
 details associated with implementing the safety plan policy. This will be implemented in consulting with the CCID and faculty fieldwork
 committee. Approval process can be incorporated into travel approval, e.g. check if racial risk assessment has been done on this
 travel location; consequence of not following policy would be additional scrutiny on future travel requests, assigned readings, and
 additional training.
- Resource Map There is no current resource map. This map should be posted on the GPS Division website, as well as made available during orientation and advertised in buildings. Lab Safety Officers and Omsbud people should be aware of this and how to find it. As part of a proposal to hire a staff member or admit a student then HR would check that the person they report to has a plan to go through the resource map with them.